

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 05/07/98 FORD L LN.010 09/073,877 **EXAMINER** QM12/0820 SUSANNE M HOPKINS, ESQ. HIRSCH, P **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER LIFENET. 5809 WARD COURT VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23455 3732 DATE MAILED: 08/20/99

#5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/073,877**

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Paul Hirsch

Group Art Unit 3732

Wolfinbarger et al



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 7, 1998	•
This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except f in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ng Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje	cted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No.	
\square received in this national stage application from th	
*Certified copies not received:	
 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior 	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
⊠ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ □	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	248
 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-S □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	140
1.0000 of mormal retent Application, 1 10-102	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/073877 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Senter et al in view of Heggeness et al, Wagner et al, Cottle, Gross et al and McKay. While teaches an implant of included protrusions it would be obvious in view of both the teachings of Senter et al and Heggeness et al of known allografts to form a prosthesis such as taught by Senter et al with the known and conventional shapes of spinal prosthesis. Heggeness et al teaches (col. 1, lines 43-57 for example) that allografts can be produced in various sizes and shapes. The inclusion of various shaped protrusions such as ridges, pyramids, points of known construction in bone prosthesis such as taught by Wagner et al, Cottle, McKay and Gross et al is considered a matter of obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art as well as specific dimensioning. The method

1

Application/Control Number: 09/073877

Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

steps of claims 3-4 and 24 (milling is a known method of article manufacture), would be obvious in view of the references applied.

- 3. G-M are cited as further teachings including prosthesis design.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Paul Hirsch whose telephone number is (703) 308-2697.

Paul J. Hirsch Primary Examiner

pjh

August 16, 1999